

Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 09/815,497 | 03/22/2001 | Garry C. Binder | 42390P11284 | 5655 |
| 75 | 590 01/13/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| James H. Salter | | | EL CHANTI, HUSSEIN A | |
| BLAKELY, SC | OKOLOFF, TAYLOR | & ZAFMAN LLP | | |
| Seventh Floor | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 12400 Wilshire Boulevard | | | 2157 | • |

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/815,497 | BINDER, GARRY C. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examin r | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Hussein A El-chanti | 2157 | | | | |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, its than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE | mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 S | September 2004. | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | _ · · | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | , | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob | ne 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/815,497 Page 2

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to amendment received on Sep. 23, 2004. Claims 1-20 are pending examination.

2. The content of the specification does not include a "summary of the invention".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Roberts et al., U.S. patent No. 6,295,551 (referred to hereafter as Roberts).

As to claim 1, Roberts teaches a networked computing apparatus, comprising:

a business message sender component coupled to a network interface, the network interface not being coupled to an always-active listening component (see col. 3 lines 38-50 and col. 20 lines 1-25); and

a processing component coupled to the sender component to process a business message or a polling request for transfer to another networked computing apparatus (see col. 7 lines 17-25).

Application/Control Number: 09/815,497

Art Unit: 2157

As to claim 6, a networked computing system, comprising:

a first networked computing apparatus including a first business message sender component coupled to a first network interface, the first network interface not being coupled to an always-active listening component, and a first processing component coupled to the first sender component to process a business message or a polling request for transfer to another networked computing device (see col. 3 lines 38-col.4 lines 25 and col. 20 lines 1-25); and

a second networked computing apparatus including a second business message sender component coupled to a second network interface, the network interface not being coupled to an always-active listening component, and a second processing component coupled to the sender component to process a business message or a polling request for transfer to another networked computing device, the second networked computing apparatus being coupled to the first networked computing apparatus via a network (see col. 3 lines 38-col.4 lines 25, col. 7 and col. 20 lines 1-25).

As to claim 11, Roberts teaches a method comprising:

sending a polling message from a first networked computing apparatus to a second networked computing apparatus (see col. 3 lines 38-col.4 lines 25, col. 7 and col. 20 lines 1-25);

receiving a response message with a business message from the second networked computing apparatus in response to the polling message, the response message further including information indicating whether additional messages are

Application/Control Number: 09/815,497

Art Unit: 2157

waiting for transfer to the first networked computing apparatus (see col. 3 lines 38-col.4 lines 25, col. 7 and col. 20 lines 1-25).

As to claims 12, 2 and 7, Roberts teaches the method, apparatus and system as claimed in claims 11, 1 and 6 respectively further including storing a business message or receipt acknowledgement for subsequent transfer to another networked computing apparatus (see col. 7 lines 17-25).

As to claims 13, 3 and 8, Roberts teaches the method, apparatus and system as claimed in claim 11, 1 and 6 respectively further including routing the polling message and the response message with the business message through a hub (see col. 20 lines 1-15).

As to claims 14, 4 and 9, Roberts teaches the method, apparatus and system as claimed in claim 12, 2 and 7 respectively further including retaining a business message in a data store until a polling message is received from another networked computing apparatus (see col. 3 lines 25-col. 4 lines 35).

As to claims 15, 5 and 10, Roberts teaches the method, apparatus and system as claimed in claim 12, 2 and 7 respectively further including retaining a business message in a data store until another business message is received from another networked computing apparatus (see col. 3 lines 25-col. 4 lines 35).

As to claim 18, Roberts teaches an article of manufacture comprising:

Art Unit: 2157

a computer useable medium having computer readable program instructions embodied thereon for causing a processor to send a polling message from a first networked computing apparatus to a second networked computing apparatus, the computer useable medium also having computer readable program instructions embodied thereon for causing the processor to receive a response message with a business message from the second networked computing apparatus in response to the polling message, the response message further including information indicating whether additional messages are waiting for transfer to the first networked computing apparatus (see col. 3 lines 38-col.4 lines 25, col. 7 and col. 20 lines 1-25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 16, 17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberts in view of Hemphill et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,167,448 (referred to hereafter as Hemphill).

As to claims 16, 17, 19 and 20, Roberts teaches a method comprising:

sending a polling message from a first networked computing apparatus to a second networked computing apparatus, and receiving a response message with a

Art Unit: 2157

business message from the second networked computing apparatus in response to the polling message, the response message further including information indicating whether additional messages are waiting for transfer to the first networked computing apparatus (see the rejection of claim 11) where the poling and response message is an HTTP message.

Roberts does not explicitly teach the limitation "HTTP POST message". However Hemphill teaches a method of sending notification messages to a server where the messages are HTTP POST messages (see col. 2 lines 10-30).

It would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Roberts by incorporating the use of HTTP POST messages instead of HTTP messages because doing so would allow the user to send and receive messages with sufficiently large size and therefore overcome the limitation of sending small files to avoid network overflow and data loss on a network.

5. Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the remarks, the applicant argues in substance that; A) Roberts does not disclose "network interface not being coupled to an always-active listening component"; B) Roberts does not disclose "response message further including information indicating whether additional messages are waiting for transfer"; B) Roberts does not disclose "response message further including information indicating whether additional messages are waiting for transfer to the first networked computing apparatus".

Page 7

In response to A) Roberts teaches a method where a user computer accesses the server utilizing the browser, the server transmits to the user computer a user applet. The user applet is adapted to enable the user computer to communicate through the network with the server. A service applet is disposed on the second computer to enable the second computer to communicate with the server. In FIG. 7A the request to enter a call session starts when a user of the user computer is instructed by the sales representative of the second computer to go to a specific web page 202. Displayed on that web page will be a sign-on page wherein the user is prompted to enter attributes, such as the users name or any other attributes previously described. When the user signs on, the user applet generates a child browser in the user view of the user computer and then begins to poll the server for call request status (see col. 20 lines 50col. 21 lines 25). The child browser starts polling only when the user signs on. There is no limitation in the claim on when the listening component is not active and therefore Roberts' polling following a user sign on meets the scope of the claimed limitation "network interface not being coupled to an always-active listening component".

In response to B) Roberts teaches the server places the call request in the computer queue. The call request in the computer queue can be communicated to the ACD or displayed on the computer queue of the second computer and the user computer. The ACD can also determine which queue is appropriate for the call request in place of the server and transmit the corresponding selection of the computer queue to the server. The server then transmits the user applet to the user computer. The user applet spawns the child browser and displays the on-hold information to the user. Again,

Art Unit: 2157

method or behind the child browser. Similarly, the on-hold information can include not only information regarding the call request status in the queue but also the advertisements determined either based on the attributes or just the advertisements for the sales representatives' company. There is no limitation on the content of the messages and therefore the on-hold queues taught by Roberts meets the scope of the claimed limitation "response message further including information indicating whether additional messages are waiting for transfer to the first networked computing apparatus".

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hussein A El-chanti whose telephone number is (571)272-3999. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/815,497 Page 9

Art Unit: 2157

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571)272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hussein Elchanti

Dec. 28, 2004

ARIO ETIENNE

ARIO ETIENNE

PATENT EXAMINER

PATENTER 2100